

Appendix E:

Preliminary Technical Information Report (Drainage) –
Wood Trails, June 2004



WOOD TRAILS

City of Woodinville, Washington

Preliminary Technical Information Report

Date: 6/11/04

Job # 03-208

Revision Date(s)

Prepared By:
Schwin Chaosilapakul

Reviewed By:
Mark Keller, P.E.

Prepared For:
Phoenix Development Inc.

Date June 11, 2004

WOOD TRAILS
Preliminary Technical Information Report

Prepared By:
Schwin Chaosilapakul

Reviewed By:
Mark Keller, P.E.



Table of Contents

1	PROJECT OVERVIEW.....	1-3
2	CONDITIONS AND REQUIREMENTS SUMMARY.....	2-1
2.1	CORE REQUIREMENTS.....	2-1
2.1.1	<i>Core Requirement #1: Discharge at the Natural Location.....</i>	<i>2-1</i>
2.1.2	<i>Core Requirement #2: Offsite Analysis.....</i>	<i>2-1</i>
2.1.3	<i>Core Requirement #3: Flow Control.....</i>	<i>2-1</i>
2.1.4	<i>Core Requirement #4: Conveyance System.....</i>	<i>2-1</i>
2.1.5	<i>Core Requirement #5: Erosion and Sediment Control.....</i>	<i>2-1</i>
2.1.6	<i>Core Requirement #6: Maintenance and Operations.....</i>	<i>2-1</i>
2.1.7	<i>Core Requirement #7: Financial Guarantees and Liability.....</i>	<i>2-1</i>
2.1.8	<i>Core Requirement #8: Water Quality.....</i>	<i>2-2</i>
2.2	SPECIAL REQUIREMENT #1: OTHER ADOPTED AREA-SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS.....	2-2
2.2.1	<i>Critical Drainage Areas.....</i>	<i>2-2</i>
2.2.2	<i>Master Drainage Plan.....</i>	<i>2-2</i>
2.2.3	<i>Basin Plans.....</i>	<i>2-2</i>
2.2.4	<i>Lake Management Plans.....</i>	<i>2-2</i>
2.2.5	<i>Shared Facility Drainage Plans.....</i>	<i>2-2</i>
2.3	SPECIAL REQUIREMENT #2: FLOODPLAIN/FLOODWAY DELINEATION.....	2-2
2.4	SPECIAL REQUIREMENT #3: FLOOD PROTECTION FACILITIES.....	2-2
2.5	SPECIAL REQUIREMENT #4: SOURCE CONTROLS.....	2-3
3	OFFSITE ANALYSIS.....	3-1
3.1	LEVEL 1 DOWNSTREAM ANALYSIS.....	3-1
4	FLOW CONTROL AND WATER QUALITY DESIGN.....	4-1
4.1	PERFORMANCE STANDARDS, FLOW CONTROL SYSTEM, W.Q. SYSTEM.....	4-1
4.2	DRAINAGE CONCEPT.....	4-1
4.3	DETENTION.....	4-5
4.3.1	<i>Existing Flows.....</i>	<i>4-5</i>
4.3.2	<i>Developed Flows.....</i>	<i>4-6</i>
4.3.3	<i>Detention Pond Modeling.....</i>	<i>4-8</i>
4.4	WATER QUALITY.....	4-14
4.4.1	<i>Wetpond.....</i>	<i>4-14</i>
4.4.2	<i>Leaf Compost Filter.....</i>	<i>4-15</i>
4.5	EMERGENCY OVERFLOW STRUCTURE.....	4-16
4.6	OFFSITE BYPASS.....	ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
5	CONVEYANCE SYSTEM ANALYSIS AND DESIGN.....	5-1
5.1	OFFSITE CAPACITY.....	5-1

6	SPECIAL REPORTS AND STUDIES	6-3
7	OTHER PERMITS.....	7-1
8	ESC ANALYSIS AND DESIGN	8-1
9	BOND QUANTITIES, FACILITY SUMMARIES, AND DECLARATION OF COVENANT	9-1
9.1	BOND QUANTITIES.....	9-1
9.2	FACILITY SUMMARIES.....	9-1
9.3	DECLARATION OF COVENANT.....	9-1
10	OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE	10-1

LIST OF SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Note: Where applicable, supplemental information is located at the end of each section.

Section I:

TIR Worksheet*

Section III:

Level 1 Downstream Analysis

Section IV:

Drainage Basins Exhibit

Emergency Overflow Calculation

Section V:

Offsite Pipe Capacity Calculations

Section VI:

Geotechnical Engineering Study prepared by Earth Consultants Inc., dated June 9, 2004


Section X:

Bond Quantity Worksheet*

*To be provided at Final Engineering Submittal

1 PROJECT OVERVIEW

The project proposes to construct 66 single-family lots on approximately 16 acres of an approximate 51 acre property. The site is rectangular in shape and neighbors an industrial zoned area to the west and residential zoned area to the east. The site is located just south of the King County – Snohomish County border, covering the area between NE 203rd Street and NE 195th Street and fronted by 148th Avenue NE to the east. More generally, the site lies within Section 3, Township 26 North, Range 5 East, W.M., King County, Washington. Please see the *Vicinity Map* below.



VICINITY MAP

NOT TO SCALE

Site visits were performed on December 2, 2003 and April 12, 2004. Refer to the Level 1 Downstream Analysis in Section 3.

2 CONDITIONS AND REQUIREMENTS SUMMARY

2.1 Core Requirements

2.1.1 Core Requirement #1: Discharge at the Natural Location

Runoff from the proposed developed site will continue to discharge to the west as it does in the existing condition. Please refer to the Level 1 Downstream Analysis in Section 3 for complete description of the existing drainage conditions of the site.

2.1.2 Core Requirement #2: Offsite Analysis

See the Level 1 Downstream Analysis included in Section 3 of this TIR.

2.1.3 Core Requirement #3: Flow Control

Since site drainage is tributary to the Little Bear Creek (classified as salmonid-bearing stream), a detention pond designed to Level 2 flow control standards is proposed. See Section 4 – Flow Control and Water Quality Facility Analysis and Design.

2.1.4 Core Requirement #4: Conveyance System

See Section 6 – Conveyance System Analysis and Design.

2.1.5 Core Requirement #5: Erosion and Sediment Control

See Section 9 – Temporary Erosion and Sedimentation Control Analysis and Design.

2.1.6 Core Requirement #6: Maintenance and Operations

The storm system will be publicly maintained. See Section 10 – Operations and Maintenance Manual.

2.1.7 Core Requirement #7: Financial Guarantees and Liability

This requirement will be addressed during final engineering in Section 9 – Bond Quantity Work Sheet, Retention/Detention Facility Summary, and Declaration of Covenant.

2.1.8 Core Requirement #8: Water Quality

Water Quality BMPs from the Resource Stream Protection Menu will be provided. A treatment train system of wetpond followed by a leaf compost filter (StormFilter) is proposed for the site. See Section 4 – Flow Control and Water Quality Facility Analysis and Design.

2.2 Special Requirement #1: Other Adopted Area-Specific Requirements

2.2.1 Critical Drainage Areas

The area is shown to be within an erosion hazard zone. A Geotechnical Report will be provided to assess the soil conditions of the site, including erosion potential.

2.2.2 Master Drainage Plan

Not applicable.

2.2.3 Basin Plans

According to the King County Drainage Basins Map, the site is located within the Little Bear Creek sub-basin of the Cedar River Drainage Basin.

2.2.4 Lake Management Plans

Not applicable.

2.2.5 Shared Facility Drainage Plans

Not applicable.

2.3 Special Requirement #2: Floodplain/Floodway Delineation

The limits of this project do not lie in a 100-year floodplain.

2.4 Special Requirement #3: Flood Protection Facilities

This special requirement is required for projects with a Class 1 or 2 streams with an existing flood protection facility. The site does not contain the above-mentioned items.

2.5 Special Requirement #4: Source Controls

Not applicable. This project is not a commercial, industrial, multifamily or a redevelopment of a commercial, industrial or multifamily project.

3 OFFSITE ANALYSIS

3.1 Level 1 Downstream Analysis

Please refer to the attached Level 1 Downstream Analysis.

WOOD TRAILS

City of Woodinville, Washington

Level 1 Downstream Analysis

Date: 4/26/04

Job # 03-208

Revision Date(s)

Prepared By:
Schwin Chaosilapakul

Reviewed By:
Mark Keller, P.E.

Prepared For:
Phoenix Development Inc.

Date April 26, 2004

WOOD TRAILS
Level 1 Downstream Analysis

Prepared By:
Schwin Chaosilapakul

Reviewed By:
Mark Keller, P.E.

Table of Contents


1	STUDY AREA DEFINITION & MAPS.....	1
1.1	<i>Introduction.....</i>	1
2	RESOURCE REVIEW.....	2
2.1	<i>RESOURCES USED FOR ANALYSIS.....</i>	2
3	FIELD INSPECTION.....	3
3.1	<i>EXISTING SITE CONDITIONS.....</i>	3
3.2	<i>SITE DRAINAGE.....</i>	3
3.3	<i>UPSTREAM DRAINAGE.....</i>	3
4	DRAINAGE SYSTEM DESCRIPTION AND PROBLEM DESCRIPTIONS.....	4
4.1	<i>Downstream Drainage Description.....</i>	4
4.2	<i>Downstream Drainage Problems.....</i>	5

1 STUDY AREA DEFINITION & MAPS

- Vicinity Map

1.1 Introduction

The project proposes to construct 66 single-family lots on approximately 16 acres of an approximate 51 acre property. The site is rectangular in shape and neighbors an industrial zoned area to the west and residential areas to the east and south. The site is located just south of the King County – Snohomish County border, covering the area between NE 203rd Street and NE 195th Street and fronted by 148th Avenue NE to the east. More generally, the site lies within Section 3, Township 26 North, Range 5 East, W.M., King County, Washington. Please see the *Vicinity Map* below.



VICINITY MAP

NOT TO SCALE

2 RESOURCE REVIEW

2.1 RESOURCES USED FOR ANALYSIS

Refer to the end of this section for a copy of the following maps and figures.

- Little Bear Creek Basin Map
- Sensitive Areas Folio Maps
- King County Soil Survey

2.1.1 King County Basin Reconnaissance Program


According to the King County Basin Reconnaissance Program, the site is located within the Little Bear Creek Sub-basin of the Cedar River Drainage Basin.

2.1.2 Sensitive Areas Folio

Maps from the King County Sensitive Areas Folio, dated December 1990, show that the site is not in a sensitive area with regards to seismic hazards, coal mines, landslide hazard, and streams or wetlands, but is shown to be within an erosion hazard area. Please refer to the Geotechnical Engineering Study prepared by Earth Consultants Inc., dated June 9, 2004 for geotechnical recommendations.

2.1.3 Soils Survey for the King County Area

According to the King County Soils Map, the site is underlain with Alderwood Soils (AgC, AgD), hydrologic soils group C.






Figure 2


DRAINAGE BASINS

King County
1985

Major Basin Boundary

Sub-Basin Boundary

Source: King County Sensitive Areas
Map Folio, Wetlands Supplement



1 1/2 0 1
MILE






The boundaries of the sensitive areas displayed on these maps are approximate. Additional sensitive areas that have not been mapped may be present on a development proposal site. Where differences occur between what is illustrated on these maps and the site conditions, the actual presence or absence on the site of the sensitive area - as defined in the Sensitive Area Ordinance - is the legal control.

See wetlands and landslide hazard maps for additional potential seismic hazard areas. Wetlands and landslide areas are susceptible to failure during earthquakes. Other seismic hazard areas not shown on this map are shorelines underlain by lacustrine sediments; these are susceptible to liquefaction.

Seismic Hazard Areas

Duwall






The boundaries of the sensitive areas displayed on these maps are approximate. Additional sensitive areas that have not been mapped may be present on a development proposal site. Where differences occur between what is illustrated on these maps and the site conditions, the maps and the site conditions shall prevail. The sensitive areas shown on these maps are based on the best available information and are not intended to be a legal control. Ordinance - is the legal control.


Numbered wetlands, except those with an "a" or "b" designation are included in the King County Wetlands Inventory. The locations of wetlands designated "a" have been verified on the site by a variety of sources. Wetlands designated "b" are mapped in the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetlands Inventory, but their locations have not been field verified. There may be gaps in the numbering sequence within individual drainage basins.

Wetlands

- Wetlands
- Open Water
- Basin Boundaries
- Sub-basin: Boundaries

Duvall







The boundaries of the sensitive areas displayed on these maps are approximate. Additional sensitive areas that have not been mapped may be present on a development proposal site. Where differences occur between what is illustrated on these maps and the site conditions, the actual presence or absence on the site of the sensitive area - as defined in the Sensitive Area Ordinance - is the legal control.

Erosion Hazard Areas

Duvall






The boundaries of the sensitive areas displayed on these maps are approximately 100 m wider than the boundaries that have been mapped by the Environment Agency. This is to allow for differences in interpretation of the proposed development proposal site. Where differences occur between what is illustrated on these maps and the site conditions, the actual presence or absence on the site of the sensitive area - as defined in the Sensitive Area Ordinance - is the legal control.




122 OF Landslide Hazard Areas

Duvall




7




One- hundred-year floodplains extend beyond those shown on maps. Flood Insurance Rate Maps do not always show the floodplain to the headwaters of streams.

The boundaries of the sensitive areas depicted on these maps are approximate. Additional sensitive areas that have not been mapped may be present on a development proposal site. Where differences occur between what is illustrated on these maps and the site conditions, the actual presence or absence on the site of the sensitive area - as defined in the Sensitive Area Ordinance - is the legal control.




- Class 1
- Class 2 (with salmonids)
- Class 2 (perennial, salmonid use undetermined)
- Class 3
- Unclassified

Duvall




Streams and 100-Year Floodplains

SHEET NO. 4
KING COUNTY AREA, WASHINGTON
(PARTS OF BOTHELL
MALTBY AND MONROE QUADRANGLES)



KING COUNTY
SOILS MAP






Figure 1
KING COUNTY
COMMUNITY PLANNING AREAS

King County
1985

3 FIELD INSPECTION

- Performed December 2, 2003 and again on April 12, 2004.
- Existing Conditions Exhibit

3.1 EXISTING SITE CONDITIONS


Currently the site is entirely undeveloped with forest land cover with moderate underbrush. The topography of the site slopes toward the west with approximately 140' to 180' of vertical relief. Several ravines exist on the western portion of the site where the terrain transitions from moderate to steep.

3.2 SITE DRAINAGE

Runoff from the upstream (eastern) portion of the site sheet flows west over moderate vegetation, toward the existing ravines. Flows through the ravine are dispersed over moderately thick vegetative brush near the downstream (western) portion of the site. Once dispersed, runoff exits the site in sheet flow manner towards the industrial zoned area to the west. No major signs of erosion were observed. See the photos attached along with the following *Existing Conditions Exhibit*.

3.3 UPSTREAM DRAINAGE

Approximately 21.0 acres of upstream area is tributary to the site. This area consists of the neighboring properties (zoned as R-1, 20% density) to the east of the site. Upstream flows enter the site via sheet flow and culverts crossing beneath 148th Avenue NE. See the *Existing Conditions Exhibit* attached.



TRIAD ASSOCIATES

11814 115th Ave. NE
Kirkland, WA 98034-6923
425.821.8448
425.821.2481 fax
800.458.0758 toll free
www.triadassoc.com

www.triadassoc.com

WASHINGTON

PHOENIX DEVELOPMENT INC.
WOOD TRAILS

EXISTING CONDITIONS EXHIBIT

CITY OF WOODINVILLE,

BY: CK

DATE: REVISION

NO.

GEORGE A. NEWMAN
PROJECT MANAGER
BOB E. MILLER, PLS
PROJECT SURVEYOR
JAMES MILLER, PE
PROJECT ENGINEER
PROJECT LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT
FIRST SUBMITTAL DATE:
SCALE: HORIZ: 1"=200' VERT: 1"=40'

JOB NO. 03-208
SHEET NO. 1 OF 1

STAMP NOT VALID
UNLESS SIGNED AND DATED

4 DRAINAGE SYSTEM DESCRIPTION AND PROBLEM DESCRIPTIONS

- Downstream Drainage Exhibit
- Downstream Photos
- KCWLR Drainage Complaints List

4.1 Downstream Drainage Description

Runoff from the site is collected within the neighboring industrial development's drainage system (via wall/rockery drains – see attached photos) west of the site, and conveyed to the conveyance system within 144th Avenue NE. There are three separate systems within 144th Avenue NE that collect runoff from the site. These systems will be referred to as System A, System B, and System C. Site areas that contribute runoff to these systems will be referred to as Basin A, Basin B, and Basin C, respectively (refer to the *Downstream Drainage Exhibit* attached). All existing systems described drain into Little Bear Creek.

Basin A

Drainage from Basin A, collected within the 144th Avenue system (System A), continues west within a 12" closed conveyance system and ditch system on the south side of NE 203rd Street. The system daylights to the north through a 12" concrete culvert on the east side of the parking lot/loading area of the W-2 Precor commercial property. Flow travels north through a series of open channel ditches (with heavy vegetation) and 18" culvert system until its freefall discharge to a small settling pond along the northeastern border of the property. The approximate ¼-mile point is reached near the settling pond. From the small settling pond flow continues north then west along the property boundary through a well defined grass-lined channel (see attached photo). This channel lies within what appears to be an approximate 20' drainage easement. Further drainage continues to the west through the Kiewit storage yard. Drainage through the storage yard is assumed to flow west to Woodinville-Snohomish Road where it joins with the drainage ditch on the east side of the road. Flows within this system ultimately discharges to Little Bear Creek to the west.

Basin B

Drainage from Basin B, collected within the 144th Avenue system (System B), is conveyed north beneath 144th Avenue NE then west beneath NE 200th Street. Drainage within this system reaches its downstream ¼-mile point near the intersection of 141st Place NE and NE 200th Street. Further downstream drainage continues west beneath NE 200th Street then south on the east side of Woodinville-Snohomish Road via heavily vegetated drainage ditch. Drainage from this system ultimately discharges into Little Bear Creek to the west.


Basin C

Drainage from Basin C, collected within the 144th Avenue system (System C), is conveyed south beneath 144th Avenue for approximately a ¼ mile before discharging to a vegetated ditch to the west via 12" culvert approximately 300' south of NE 193rd Place. Drainage from the ditch travels west for approximately 200' then enters a 12" culvert. The culvert conveys flow west beneath the Formost Packaging Machines Inc. parking lot for approximately 200' until discharging into a detention pond. Further downstream drainage continues in a westerly direction through a series of pipe and open channel systems until discharging to Little Bear Creek approximately 1 mile downstream from the site.

No downstream drainage problems were observed at the time of the site visits. All drainage systems appear to have adequate capacity. Minor erosion was observed near the banks of Little Bear Creek (see attached photo).

4.2 Downstream Drainage Problems

According to complaints compiled by the King County Water and Land Resources Division, no significant drainage problems have been identified downstream of the site. See the KCWLR Drainage Complaints list attached.



Looking west towards Industrial Development.



A typical ravine that disperses concentrated flows over moderately thick vegetation.

Looking North on 148th Avenue NE




Typical upstream runoff entering the site via sheet flow.

Looking North along the western boundary of the site.




Downstream Industrial Development to the west. Site flows are collected by rockery drains.

Looking South along the western boundary of the site adjacent to NE 203rd Street.



Downstream Industrial Development to the west. Site flows are collected by wall drains.

Looking west along northern boundary of W-2 Precor Commercial property.



Grass lined channel.

Looking west at Little Bear Creek near SR 522 entrance.



Minor erosion was observed near the banks.

King County Water and Land Resources (WLR) Division

201 S Jackson St, Suite 600
Seattle, WA 98104-3855

FAX

Date: 11/21/03

Number of pages including cover sheet: 3

To: Schwin

Fax: 425-821-3481

Phone: _____

From: Candi McKay, Eng Tech II

WLR Stormwater Services Section

Phone: 206-296-1900

Fax Number: 206-296-0192

RE: Level 1 Analysis

To follow is a list of complaints received by the Water and Land Resources Division Drainage Services Section. Complaint numbers beginning prior to 1990-XXXX have been archived and are no longer in our possession. They can still be retrieved, if necessary, but will take additional time and may not be beneficial to your research due to their age, development which has occurred, etc. If you are interested in reviewing the actual complaints, they can be pulled (time permitting) for your review. Copies can be obtained for \$.15 per page, and \$2.00 per page for plans.

Keys:

Type of Investigation

C	Action Request
BCW	Business' for Clean Water
CCF	Response to Inquiry
*CL	Claim
EH	Enforcement on Hold
ER	Enforcement Review
FCC,FCR,FCS	Facility Complaints
FI	SWM Fee Inquiry
FIR	SWM Fee Review
FIH	SWM Fee on Hold
*LS	Law suit
RR	Facility Engineering Review
NDA	Neighborhood Drainage Assistance
WQC	Water Quality Complaint
WQE	Water Quality Enforcement
WQR	Water Quality Engineering Review
WQA	Water Quality Audit
WQO	Water Quality - Other
S1,S2,SN3	Engineering Studies

Type of Problem

DCA	Development/Construction
DDM	Drainage - Miscellaneous
DES	Drainage - Erosion/Sedimentation
DLE	Drainage - Landslide/Earth Movement
DTA	Drainage Technical Assistance
INQ	Drainage - General Inquiry
MMA	Maintenance - Aesthetics
MMF	Maintenance - Flooding
MMG	Maintenance - General
MMM	Maintenance - Mowing
MNM	Maintenance - Needs Maintenance
MNW	Maintenance-Noxious Weeds
SWF	SWM Fee Questions
WQB	Water Quality - Best Management Practices
WQD	Water Quality - Dumping
WQI	Water Quality - Illicit Connection
REM	SWM Fee -Remeasurement
GRT	SWM Fee-Grant
NWD	SWM Fee-New Discount

*Subject to Public Disclosure requirements 1. Receipt of written request for documents 2. Review and approval by Prosecuting Attorney's office

County Water and Land Resources Division - Drainage Services Section

nt Search

Printed : 11/21/2003 7:24:07 AM

It	Type Code	Type of Problem	Address of Problem		Comments	Thros Page
13	C	FLDG	4300	NE 193RD PL	RD DITCH/SILTING/144TH AV NE/NE 190TH	476J5
43	C		NE 19	5TH ST/136TH AV	NE SILT IN BEAR CRK AREA	476J5
47	C	DRNG	B	RYANT CORP	NE 190TH ST/WOODINVILLE-SNOQ RD	476J5
00	C	FLDG	15424	NE 198TH ST	WELLINGTON HILLS	477A4
87	C	FLDG		WOODINVILLE POST OF	OVER RD/NE 190TH ST/135TH AVE NE-136	476J5
55	C	FLDG	NE	WOODINVILLE	NE 200TH & 142ND AVE NE	476J4
58	C	FLDG	19816	154TH CT NE		477A4
82	C	EROSION	14704	NE 184TH PL		477A5
36	C		19235	144TH AVE NE	SILT/INDUSTRIAL PRK AREA/WOODINVILL	476J5
39	C	DRNG	13528	NE 190TH PL	PINK OIL IN DRAINS/RD	476J5
27	C	DRNG	14806	NE 184TH PL	SILT/R/S DITCH	477A5
10	C	EROSION	20300	WOOD-SNOM RD	NE INFO. LTR. TO BALD	476J4
74	C	CULVERT	19424	153RD AVE	NE CULVERT INSTALLED	477A5
L9	C	DRNG	19223	144TH AVE	NE PIPE SYSTEM	476J5
54	C	EROSION	14221	NE 190TH	SEDIMENTATION	476J5
60	C	FLDG	15009	NE 198TH ST	FROM NEIGHBOR	477A4
03	C	FLDG	19424	WOODINVILLE/SNO	NE R/D POND DESIGN	476J5
92	E	CDE ANB			CHK STAT BY CMDP. (R/@ BAC)	476J5
92	ER	DRNG	14410	NE 190TH ST	DRNG FACILITY MAINTENANCE	476J5
64	C	DRNG	18519	148TH AVE	NE NO EROSION CONTROLS	477A5
45	C	DRNG	13511	NE 186TH ST	PIPE OUTLETS	476J5
45	SR	PIPE	13511	NE 186TH ST	OUTLET OF PIPE BY RAVINE	476J5
80	C	DRNG	15116	NE 202ND ST	PLUGGED CULVERT/STORM	477A4
08	C	POLLT	19127	136TH AVE	NE DEBRIS IN DITCH	476J5
41	C	DRNG	19119	136TH AVE	NE PIPE REPLACED HALF ROUND	476J5
41	E	DRNG	19119	136TH AVE	NE TO BALD RES FOR CMT	476J5
20	C	DRNG	19130	136TH AVE	NE R/W ATTACHMENT OF ADS NO CB	476J5
33	C	PA SUPRT		NE 184TH PL&148 AV	NE ROCKERY-LS SETTLEMENT	477A5
65	C	WQ	19240	144TH AVE	NE CHEMGRATE DUMPING ?	476J5
136	WQC	WQD97100	19600	144TH AVE	NE	476J5
137	WQA	WQD97106	19606	144TH	NE GARDEN FRESH FOODS [WOODINVILLE]	476J5
137	WQC	WQD97106	19606	144TH	NW	476J5
143	WQC	WQD97105	19600	144TH AVE	NE	476J5
147	WQC	WQD95846	19400	144TH AVE	NE	476J5
54	WQA	DUMPING	19919	WOODIN-SNO RD	NE WOODINVILLE PRIME POWER INC	476J4
54	WQC	DUMPING	19919	WOODIN-SNO RD	NE CHECK STATUS 11-1-93	476J4
136	WQC	DUMPING	19211	144TH AVE	NE	476J5

t	Type Code	Type of Problem	Address of Problem		Comments	Thrus Page
56	C	DRNG	15206	NE 202ND ST	BLOCKED CULVERT	477A4
11	WQC	DUMPING	20004	144TH AVE	NE URBAN ACCESSORIES	476J4
57	CL	MAINTENA	14221	NE 190TH ST	R/D CONTROL STRUCTURE ORIFICE REP	476J5
30	WQC	OIL/INCB	19819	144TH AVE	NE SOURCE CONTROLS FOR COMMERCIAL F	476J4
30	WQR	OIL/INCB	19819	144TH AVE	NE SOURCE CONTROLS FOR COMMERCIAL F	476J4
50	WQC	OIL/INCB	19919	WOOD-SNOHOMISH HW	ILLICIT HOOK-UP	476J4
51	WQC	BMP	14126	NE 190TH ST	INFO LETTER CC:WOODINVILLE	476J5
52	WQC	OIL	19819	144TH AVE	NE CLOSE SAME AS 94-0700	476J4
15	WQC	WHITEH2O	19211	144TH AVE	NE SUSPECTED DISCHARGE FROM CHEMGR	476J5
96	WQA	BMP	19240	144TH AVE	NE FEB 9TH SITE CONSULTATION	476J5
96	WQC	BMP	19240	144TH AVE	NE FEB 9TH SITE CONSULTATION	476J5
72	WQC	DISCHARG	14115	NE 189TH ST	GLASS BEVELING PROCESS DRAIN TO SA	476J5
60	WQC	DUMPING		NE 190TH & 140TH A	NE STORAGE OF USED FUEL TANKS	476J5
26	C	CLEARING	19725	WOODNVL-SNO RD	IMPACT TO COMMERCIAL PROP FROM R	476J4
26	NDA	CLEARING	19725	WOODNVL-SNO RD	IMPACT TO COMMERCIAL PROP FROM R	476J4
26	RN	CLEARING	19725	WOODNVL-SNO RD	IMPACT TO COMMERCIAL PROP FROM R	476J4
06	C	SILTATIO	19211	144TH AVE	NE SEDIMENT FROM CLEARED LOT	476J5
33	C	DRAINAGE	20010	142ND AVE	NE ADJACENT COMMERCIAL DEVEL QUESTI	476J4
92	WQC	DISCHARG	14102	NE 189TH ST	APPEARS DUPING OF SAND INTO CB	476J5
92	WQR	DISCHARG	14102	NE 189TH ST	APPEARS DUPING OF SAND INTO CB	476J5
05	C	DRAINAGE	20210	142ND AVE	NE INFORMATION REQUEST FOR DRAINAGE	476J4
10	WQC	OIL DRNG		NE 200TH & 144TH	NE OIL IN COMMERCIAL DRAINAGE SYSTEM	476J4
73	WQR	POLLUTIO	13900	NE 187TH ST	IRON OXIDE DEPOSIT IN RD FACILITY	476J5
85	C	MAINT.	18715	141ST AVE	NE	476J5
98	WQR	MAINT.	19806	144TH AVE	NE	476J4
118	C	PONDS	15206	NE 202ND ST	APPARENT OBSTRUCTION IN PVT DRN S	477A4
29	FCR	EROSION	14812	NE 198TH ST	FENCE REQUEST BECAUSE OF ATV USE	477A4
350	WQC	BROWNH2O	19211	144TH AVE	NE SEDIMENT IMPACT FROM ACTIVE CONST	476J5
344	WQC	DUMPING	14522	NE N WOODINVILLE W	ALLEGED DUMPING OF WASH WATER IN	476J5
344	WQR	DUMPING	14522	NE N WOODINVILLE W	ALLEGED DUMPING OF WASH WATER IN	476J5
395	FCR	RD REC.	13929	NE 190TH ST		476J5
287	FCR	WEEDS	14812	NE 198TH ST	TANSY IN POND	477A4
470	WQC	WQD	14522	NE 190TH ST	ONGOING WATER QUALITY PROBLEM AT	476J5
470	WQR	WQD	14522	NE 190TH ST	ONGOING WATER QUALITY PROBLEM AT	476J5

4 FLOW CONTROL AND WATER QUALITY DESIGN

4.1 Performance Standards, Flow Control System, W.Q. System

All stormwater facilities will be designed per the 1998 King County Surface Water Design Manual (KCSWDM). Since site drainage is tributary to the Little Bear Creek (classified as salmonid-bearing stream), a detention pond designed to Level 2 flow control standards along with water quality treatment from the Resource Stream Protection Menu is required for the site.

4.2 Drainage Concept

Refer to the *Drainage Basins Exhibit* to aid in the following discussion.

Detained Areas:

- Site runoff is proposed to be detained by a single detention pond located within the lower west central portion of the site.
- In addition to onsite flows, existing offsite flows from approximately 8.5 acres of upstream residential area to the east of the site (north of NE 198th Street) will “flow through” the detention pond. This area will be modeled as it exists under both pre and post development.
- Since site flows will be discharged into one receiving system, rather than the three described in the Level 1 Downstream Analysis, a drainage waiver will be requested for diverted flows. Drainage from all basins re-combine downstream at Little Bear Creek.

Bypass Areas:

- Due to the topography of the site, drainage from 8 lots (lots 1-4, 12, 13, 29, and 30) and an access road (for lots 1-4 at the south end of the site) will bypass the proposed detention pond. Per discharge requirements of the KCSWDM (Section 1.2.1, p. 1-17), runoff from lots 12, 13, 29, and 30 are proposed to be dispersed through a

dispersion trench. Runoff from lots 1-4 and the access road will be conveyed to the existing system within 144th Avenue NE via underground pipes along the southern boundary of the site. Bypass flows will be accounted for in the sizing of the detention pond.

- Per the offsite bypass requirement of the KCSWDM (Section 1.2.3.2, p. 1-36): If the existing 100-year peak flow rate from any upstream offsite area is greater than 50% of the 100-year developed peak flow rate (undetained) for the project site, THEN the runoff from the offsite area must bypass onsite flow control facilities.

Approximately 21.0 acres of upstream area is tributary to the site. As discussed above, 8.5 acres of this area will be routed through the detention pond. The remaining 12.5 acres (per King County requirements stated above) will bypass the onsite detention pond. See Calculations provided below.

Developed Onsite Flows:

Developed Onsite Area = total onsite tributary area to pond – onsite bypass area

$$= 21.5 \text{ acres} - 1.82 \text{ acres} = 19.68 \text{ acres}$$

Impervious = 8.91 acres

Pervious = 10.77 acres

Forest = 3.9 acres (undisturbed area)

Grass = 6.87 acres

Flow Frequency Analysis							
Time Series File:detained.tsf							
Project Location:Sea-Tac							
---Annual Peak Flow Rates---				-----Flow Frequency Analysis-----			
Flow Rate (CFS)	Rank	Time of Peak		- - Peaks - - (CFS)	Rank	Return Period	Prob
2.93	5	2/09/01	2:00	5.94	1	100.00	0.990
2.28	8	1/05/02	16:00	3.50	2	25.00	0.960
3.50	2	2/27/03	7:00	3.41	3	10.00	0.900
2.35	7	8/26/04	2:00	3.11	4	5.00	0.800
2.84	6	10/28/04	16:00	2.93	5	3.00	0.667
3.11	4	1/18/06	16:00	2.84	6	2.00	0.500
3.41	3	10/26/06	0:00	2.35	7	1.30	0.231
5.94	1	1/09/08	6:00	2.28	8	1.10	0.091
Computed Peaks				5.13		50.00	0.980

The 100-year peak developed flowrate generated from the site is **5.94 cfs**.

Existing Upstream Flows:

Total Upstream Area = 21.0 acres

Impervious = 5.6 acres

Road & Walk = 1.7 acres

Lots = (total upstream area – Road & Walk) x 0.20 (R-1 zoning)
 = (21.0 acres – 1.7 acres) x 0.20 = 3.9 acres

Pervious = 15.4 acres

Flow Frequency Analysis							
Time Series File:upstream.tsf							
Project Location:Sea-Tac							
---Annual Peak Flow Rates---				-----Flow Frequency Analysis-----			
Flow Rate (CFS)	Rank	Time of Peak		Peaks (CFS)	Rank	Return Period	Prob
2.73	4	2/09/01	2:00	5.90	1	100.00	0.990
1.90	7	1/05/02	16:00	3.36	2	25.00	0.960
3.36	2	2/27/03	7:00	2.86	3	10.00	0.900
1.68	8	8/26/04	2:00	2.73	4	5.00	0.800
2.11	6	10/28/04	16:00	2.66	5	3.00	0.667
2.86	3	1/18/06	16:00	2.11	6	2.00	0.500
2.66	5	11/24/06	3:00	1.90	7	1.30	0.231
5.90	1	1/09/08	6:00	1.68	8	1.10	0.091
Computed Peaks				5.05		50.00	0.980

Since **2.39 cfs** generated from the 8.5 acres of upstream area ($8.5/21.0 \times 5.9$ cfs) is less than 50% of developed onsite flows **2.97 cfs** (0.5×5.94 cfs), this upstream area is allowed to pass through the onsite detention pond.

The remaining 12.5 acres of upstream area generates **3.51 cfs**, therefore this area is required to bypass the onsite detention pond.

- Since pollution generating surfaces will be bypassing the water quality facilities (wetpond and StormFilter) for the site, equivalent upstream areas will be picked up and treated to mitigate water quality treatment for onsite bypassed flows.

Downstream:

Detained Discharge

Two options are proposed for connection of the site drainage system to the existing downstream system. A drainage waiver to divert site flows into one of the following receiving systems will be requested. Refer to the Level 1 Downstream Analysis in Section 3 to aid in understanding the following discussion.

Option 1: NE 203rd Street (System A)

Proposed connection into this downstream system would be at an existing catch basin located at the north end of 144th Avenue NE. From this catch basin, flows are conveyed west within a 12" pipe along the south side of NE 203rd Street. Drainage continues west via a series of pipe and open ditch system, then north through the PreCore loading lot. This conveyance system within NE 203th Street is a private system. Permission to discharge into this system will be pursued by Pheonix Development, Inc. It is understood that, if approved, a drainage easement would be required by the City for maintenance and operation of the storm drainage system.

Option 2: NE 200th Street (System B)

Proposed connection into this downstream system would require an offsite system to convey flows south along 144th Avenue NE, then west beneath NE 200th Street. The likely point of connection would be to an existing catch basin located approximately 660' west of 144th Avenue NE. Per field investigation, the system at the proposed connection is a 24" piped system. The invert elevation of the 24" outlet pipe is approximately 10' below road grade, providing enough fall for positive drainage of site flows.

Connection into the NE 200th Street system may require replacement of a portion of the existing system within 144th Avenue NE. A drainage variance for diversion of flows tributary to this existing system (currently tributary to the NE 203rd Street system) would be required. Refer to the *Diverted Basins Exhibit* in the at the end of this section.

Bypass Discharge

Onsite (System C)

The proposed bypass system would connect into the existing piped system within 144th Avenue NE near the power lines (extending west along the south boundary of the site). This system is a closed piped system (described as System C in the Level 1 Downstream

Analysis) which transitions from a 12" to a 24" pipe. Capacity of this system appeared to be adequate. No drainage complaints have been reported within that area according to King County Water and Land Resources.

Upstream

Upstream flows bypassing the onsite detention facility would discharge into the same receiving system as that of the onsite flows. A drainage waiver for this diversion will also be requested.

4.3 Detention

A detention pond with Level 2 flow control will be provided for the site. A Level 2 flow control facility requires, in addition to the Level 1 flow control requirement (match 2-year and 10-year peak discharge rates of the developed to the predeveloped conditions), that discharge durations from the developed site match those of the predeveloped durations for the range of the predeveloped discharge rates from 50% of the 2-year peak flow up to the full 50-year peak flow.

The King County Runoff Time Series (KCRTS) program (using hourly time steps) was used to size the Level 2 detention pond. The input parameters for the KCRTS program to estimate runoff for the site are Sea-Tac Rainfall Region with a Scale Factor of 1.0 and Till Soils conditions.

4.3.1 Existing Flows

A total of 30 acres will be modeled as the existing basin. This includes 21.5 acres of onsite area tributary to the proposed pond and 8.5 acres of upstream area which will be routed through the pond. All 21.5 acres of the onsite area will be modeled as forest on till. The 8.5 acres of upstream area will be modeled as that under current conditions. Refer to the *Detained Basins Exhibit* at the end of this Section.

Existing Basin = onsite tributary area to pond + upstream area

$$= 21.5 \text{ acres} + 8.5 \text{ acres} = 30.0 \text{ acres}$$

Impervious = 2.27 acres (upstream area)

Road & Walk = 0.71 acres

Lots = (upstream area – Road & Walk) x 0.20 (R-1 zoning)
 = (8.5 acres – 0.71 acres) x 0.20 = 1.56 acres

Pervious = 27.73 acres

Forest = 21.5 acres (onsite tributary area to pond)

Grass = 6.23 acres (upstream area)

Flow Frequency Analysis							
Time Series File:exst.tsf							
Project Location:Sea-Tac							
---Annual Peak Flow Rates---				-----Flow Frequency Analysis-----			
Flow Rate (CFS)	Rank	Time of Peak		Peaks (CFS)	Rank	Return Period	Prob
2.25	2	2/09/01	15:00	3.93	1	100.00	0.990
1.12	7	1/05/02	16:00	2.25	2	25.00	0.960
2.23	3	2/27/03	7:00	<u>2.23</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>10.00</u>	0.900
0.684	8	8/26/04	2:00	2.11	4	5.00	0.800
1.26	6	1/05/05	8:00	1.90	5	3.00	0.667
2.11	4	1/18/06	16:00	<u>1.26</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>2.00</u>	0.500
1.90	5	11/24/06	4:00	1.12	7	1.30	0.231
3.93	1	1/09/08	6:00	0.684	8	1.10	0.091
Computed Peaks				3.37		50.00	0.980

The peak downstream flows from the detention pond will not exceed 1.26 cfs and 2.23 cfs for the 2 and 10-year storm events, respectively.

4.3.2 Developed Flows

The following areas were used to generate flows for the developed condition. Bypass flows will be considered for lots 1-4, 12, 13, 29, and 30 (see the *Detained Basins Exhibit* included at the end of this Section for area delineation).

Total Detained Area = onsite tributary area to pond + upstream area – onsite bypass area
 = 21.5 acres + 8.5 acres – 1.82 acres = 28.18 acres

Impervious = 11.18 acres

Road & Walk = 2.53 acres (onsite) + 0.71 acres (upstream) = 3.24 acres

Lots = (onsite tributary area to pond – bypass area – pond – Road & Walk (onsite)
 – undeveloped area) x 0.45 (R-4 zoning)) + 1.56 acres (upstream)
 = (21.5 – 1.82 – 0.75 – 2.53 – 3.9) x 0.45 + 1.56 = 7.19 acres

Pond = 0.75 acres (estimated design water surface)

Pervious = 17.0 acres

Forest = 3.9 acres (onsite undisturbed area)

Grass = 13.1 acres

Flow Frequency Analysis							
Time Series File:detained.tsf							
Project Location:Sea-Tac							
---Annual Peak Flow Rates---				-----Flow Frequency Analysis-----			
Flow Rate (CFS)	Rank	Time of Peak		- - Peaks - - (CFS)	Rank	Return Period	Prob
4.03	5	2/09/01	2:00	<u>8.33</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>100.00</u>	0.990
3.05	7	1/05/02	16:00	4.86	2	25.00	0.960
4.86	2	2/27/03	7:00	4.40	3	10.00	0.900
3.03	8	8/26/04	2:00	4.27	4	5.00	0.800
3.69	6	10/28/04	16:00	4.03	5	3.00	0.667
4.27	4	1/18/06	16:00	3.69	6	2.00	0.500
4.40	3	10/26/06	0:00	3.05	7	1.30	0.231
8.33	1	1/09/08	6:00	3.03	8	1.10	0.091
Computed Peaks				7.18		50.00	0.980

The 100-year peak developed flow rate generated from the detained area is 8.33 cfs.

Bypass Area = 1.82 acres

Impervious = 0.97 acres

Road & Walk = 0.28 acres

Lots = (1.82 – 0.28) x 0.45 = 0.69 acres

Pervious = 0.85 acres

Flow Frequency Analysis							
Time Series File:bypass.tsf							
Project Location:Sea-Tac							
---Annual Peak Flow Rates---				-----Flow Frequency Analysis-----			
Flow Rate (CFS)	Rank	Time of Peak		- - Peaks - - (CFS)	Rank	Return Period	Prob
0.311	6	2/09/01	2:00	0.638	1	100.00	0.990
0.246	8	1/05/02	16:00	0.376	2	25.00	0.960
0.376	2	2/27/03	7:00	<u>0.374</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>10.00</u>	0.900
0.257	7	8/26/04	2:00	0.330	4	5.00	0.800
0.312	5	10/28/04	16:00	0.312	5	3.00	0.667
0.330	4	1/18/06	16:00	<u>0.311</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>2.00</u>	0.500
0.374	3	10/26/06	0:00	0.257	7	1.30	0.231
0.638	1	1/09/08	6:00	0.246	8	1.10	0.091
Computed Peaks				0.551		50.00	0.980

4.3.3 Detention Pond Modeling

The following is the output from the KCRTS program for the modeled Level 2 detention pond. The pond was modeled with 8.5 ft of effective storage depth and 2H:1V side slopes.

Retention/Detention Facility

Type of Facility: Detention Pond
 Side Slope: 2.00 H:1V
 Pond Bottom Length: 270.00 ft
 Pond Bottom Width: 60.00 ft
 Pond Bottom Area: 16200. sq. ft
 Top Area at 1 ft. FB: 30184. sq. ft
 0.693 acres
 Effective Storage Depth: 8.50 ft
 Stage 0 Elevation: 0.00 ft
Storage Volume: 188660. cu. ft
 4.331 ac-ft
 Riser Head: 8.50 ft
 Riser Diameter: 12.00 inches
 Number of orifices: 2
 Full Head Pipe
 Orifice # Height Diameter Discharge Diameter
 (ft) (in) (CFS) (in)
 1 0.00 3.00 0.712
 2 5.50 4.25 0.848 8.0
 Top Notch Weir: Rectangular
 Length: 2.00 in
 Weir Height: 7.00 ft
 Outflow Rating Curve: None

Stage (ft)	Elevation (ft)	Storage (cu. ft)	(ac-ft)	Discharge (cfs)	Percolation (cfs)	Surf Area (sq. ft)
0.00	0.00	0.	0.000	0.000	0.00	16200.
0.03	0.03	487.	0.011	0.043	0.00	16240.
0.06	0.06	974.	0.022	0.061	0.00	16279.
0.09	0.09	1463.	0.034	0.075	0.00	16319.
0.13	0.13	2117.	0.049	0.086	0.00	16372.
0.16	0.16	2609.	0.060	0.096	0.00	16412.
0.19	0.19	3102.	0.071	0.106	0.00	16451.
0.22	0.22	3596.	0.083	0.114	0.00	16491.
0.25	0.25	4091.	0.094	0.122	0.00	16531.
0.42	0.42	6921.	0.159	0.158	0.00	16757.
0.58	0.58	9619.	0.221	0.186	0.00	16971.
0.75	0.75	12524.	0.287	0.211	0.00	17199.
0.92	0.92	15467.	0.355	0.234	0.00	17428.
1.08	1.08	18273.	0.419	0.254	0.00	17644.
1.25	1.25	21292.	0.489	0.273	0.00	17875.
1.42	1.42	24350.	0.559	0.291	0.00	18107.

Wood Trails -- Preliminary Technical Information Report

1.58	1.58	27265.	0.626	0.307	0.00	18326.
1.75	1.75	30400.	0.698	0.323	0.00	18559.
1.92	1.92	33575.	0.771	0.338	0.00	18793.
2.08	2.08	36599.	0.840	0.352	0.00	19015.
2.25	2.25	39852.	0.915	0.366	0.00	19251.
2.42	2.42	43145.	0.990	0.379	0.00	19488.
2.58	2.58	46281.	1.062	0.392	0.00	19712.
2.75	2.75	49652.	1.140	0.405	0.00	19951.
2.92	2.92	53064.	1.218	0.417	0.00	20191.
3.08	3.08	56313.	1.293	0.429	0.00	20417.
3.25	3.25	59804.	1.373	0.440	0.00	20659.
3.42	3.42	63337.	1.454	0.451	0.00	20902.
3.58	3.58	66700.	1.531	0.462	0.00	21131.
3.75	3.75	70313.	1.614	0.473	0.00	21375.
3.92	3.92	73967.	1.698	0.483	0.00	21620.
4.08	4.08	77445.	1.778	0.493	0.00	21852.
4.25	4.25	81181.	1.864	0.503	0.00	22099.
4.42	4.42	84959.	1.950	0.513	0.00	22347.
4.58	4.58	88553.	2.033	0.523	0.00	22581.
4.75	4.75	92413.	2.122	0.532	0.00	22831.
4.92	4.92	96315.	2.211	0.541	0.00	23082.
5.08	5.08	100027.	2.296	0.550	0.00	23319.
5.25	5.25	104013.	2.388	0.559	0.00	23571.
5.42	5.42	108042.	2.480	0.568	0.00	23824.
5.50	5.50	109952.	2.524	0.573	0.00	23944.
5.54	5.54	110911.	2.546	0.580	0.00	24004.
5.59	5.59	112113.	2.574	0.598	0.00	24079.
5.63	5.63	113078.	2.596	0.627	0.00	24139.
5.68	5.68	114287.	2.624	0.664	0.00	24214.
5.72	5.72	115256.	2.646	0.712	0.00	24274.
5.77	5.77	116472.	2.674	0.768	0.00	24349.
5.81	5.81	117447.	2.696	0.831	0.00	24409.
5.85	5.85	118425.	2.719	0.882	0.00	24470.
6.02	6.02	122606.	2.815	0.953	0.00	24726.
6.19	6.19	126832.	2.912	1.010	0.00	24984.
6.35	6.35	130848.	3.004	1.070	0.00	25227.
6.52	6.52	135159.	3.103	1.120	0.00	25487.
6.69	6.69	139514.	3.203	1.170	0.00	25747.
6.85	6.85	143653.	3.298	1.210	0.00	25993.
7.00	7.00	147569.	3.388	1.250	0.00	26224.
7.17	7.17	152050.	3.491	1.320	0.00	26487.
7.33	7.33	156308.	3.588	1.390	0.00	26735.
7.50	7.50	160875.	3.693	1.480	0.00	27000.
7.67	7.67	165488.	3.799	1.580	0.00	27266.
7.83	7.83	169870.	3.900	1.680	0.00	27517.
8.00	8.00	174571.	4.008	1.800	0.00	27784.
8.17	8.17	179317.	4.117	1.920	0.00	28052.
8.33	8.33	183825.	4.220	2.040	0.00	28306.
8.50	8.50	188660.	4.331	2.180	0.00	28576.
8.60	8.60	191526.	4.397	2.500	0.00	28735.
8.70	8.70	194407.	4.463	3.080	0.00	28895.
8.80	8.80	197305.	4.529	3.830	0.00	29055.
8.90	8.90	200218.	4.596	4.640	0.00	29215.
9.00	9.00	203148.	4.664	4.940	0.00	29376.
9.10	9.10	206094.	4.731	5.210	0.00	29537.
9.20	9.20	209055.	4.799	5.460	0.00	29698.

Wood Trails – Preliminary Technical Information Report

9.30	9.30	212033.	4.868	5.700	0.00	29860.
9.40	9.40	215027.	4.936	5.920	0.00	30022.
9.50	9.50	218038.	5.005	6.130	0.00	30184.
9.60	9.60	221064.	5.075	6.330	0.00	30347.
9.70	9.70	224107.	5.145	6.520	0.00	30509.
9.80	9.80	227166.	5.215	6.710	0.00	30673.
9.90	9.90	230242.	5.286	6.890	0.00	30836.
10.00	10.00	233333.	5.357	7.060	0.00	31000.
10.10	10.10	236442.	5.428	7.230	0.00	31164.
10.20	10.20	239566.	5.500	7.390	0.00	31329.
10.30	10.30	242707.	5.572	7.550	0.00	31493.
10.40	10.40	245865.	5.644	7.700	0.00	31659.

Hyd	Inflow	Outflow	Peak		Storage	
			Stage	Elev	(Cu-Ft)	(Ac-Ft)
1	8.33	4.78	8.95	8.95	201610.	4.628
2	4.03	2.09	8.39	8.39	185462.	4.258
3	4.40	1.41	7.37	7.37	157264.	3.610
4	4.86	1.26	7.02	7.02	148066.	3.399
5	4.27	1.22	6.89	6.89	144737.	3.323
6	3.69	0.59	5.55	5.55	111250.	2.554
7	3.03	0.39	2.56	2.56	45907.	1.054
8	3.05	0.50	4.12	4.12	78252.	1.796

Hyd	R/D Facility	Tributary	Reservoir	POC	Outflow
	Outflow	Inflow	Inflow	Target	Calc
1	4.78	0.64	*****	*****	5.01
2	2.09	0.31	*****	*****	2.23
3	1.41	0.37	*****	<u>2.23</u>	<u>1.52</u>
4	1.26	0.38	*****	*****	1.37
5	1.22	0.33	*****	*****	1.36
6	0.59	0.31	*****	<u>1.26</u>	<u>0.72</u>
7	0.39	0.26	*****	*****	0.58
8	0.50	0.25	*****	*****	0.58

The outflow rates from the pond added to the bypass flows for the 2 and 10-year peak storm events are less than that of flows for the existing conditions.

```

-----
Route Time Series through Facility
  Inflow Time Series File:detained.tsf
  Outflow Time Series File:rdout
  POC Time Series File:dsout

Inflow/Outflow Analysis
  Peak Inflow Discharge:      8.33 CFS at  6:00 on Jan  9 in Year 8
  Peak Outflow Discharge:     4.78 CFS at 10:00 on Jan  9 in Year 8
  Peak Reservoir Stage:       8.95  Ft
  Peak Reservoir Elev:        8.95  Ft
  Peak Reservoir Storage:     201610.  Cu-Ft
                               :         4.628 Ac-Ft

Add Time Series:bypass.tsf
  Peak Summed Discharge:      5.01 CFS at 10:00 on Jan  9 in Year 8
Point of Compliance File:dsout.tsf
  Flow Frequency Analysis
  
```

Time Series File:rdout.tsf

Project Location:Sea-Tac

---Annual Peak Flow Rates---

Flow Rate (CFS)	Rank	Time of Peak
2.09	2	2/09/01 20:00
0.495	7	12/28/01 18:00
1.26	4	2/28/03 7:00
0.390	8	8/26/04 6:00
0.585	6	1/05/05 19:00
1.22	5	1/18/06 23:00
1.41	3	11/24/06 8:00
4.78	1	1/09/08 10:00

Computed Peaks

Flow Frequency Analysis

Time Series File:dsout.tsf

Project Location:Sea-Tac

---Annual Peak Flow Rates---

Flow Rate (CFS)	Rank	Time of Peak
2.23	2	2/09/01 19:00
0.576	8	12/28/01 15:00
1.37	4	2/28/03 4:00
0.583	7	8/26/04 2:00
0.718	6	1/05/05 8:00
1.35	5	1/18/06 21:00
1.51	3	11/24/06 6:00
5.01	1	1/09/08 10:00

Computed Peaks

-----Flow Frequency Analysis-----

Peaks (CFS)	Rank	Return Period	Prob
4.78	1	100.00	0.990
2.09	2	25.00	0.960
1.41	3	10.00	0.900
1.26	4	5.00	0.800
1.22	5	3.00	0.667
0.585	6	2.00	0.500
0.495	7	1.30	0.231
0.390	8	1.10	0.091
3.88		50.00	0.980

-----Flow Frequency Analysis-----

Peaks (CFS)	Rank	Return Period	Prob
5.01	1	100.00	0.990
2.23	2	25.00	0.960
1.51	3	10.00	0.900
1.37	4	5.00	0.800
1.35	5	3.00	0.667
0.718	6	2.00	0.500
0.583	7	1.30	0.231
0.576	8	1.10	0.091
4.08		50.00	0.980

Flow Duration from Time Series File:rdout.tsf

Cutoff CFS	Count	Frequency %	CDF %	Exceedence %	Probability
0.030	41446	67.590	67.590	32.410	0.324E+00
0.088	4738	7.727	75.316	24.684	0.247E+00
0.147	4066	6.631	81.947	18.053	0.181E+00
0.205	3569	5.820	87.767	12.233	0.122E+00
0.264	2520	4.110	91.877	8.123	0.812E-01
0.323	1755	2.862	94.739	5.261	0.526E-01
0.381	1188	1.937	96.676	3.324	0.332E-01
0.440	746	1.217	97.893	2.107	0.211E-01
0.498	499	0.814	98.707	1.293	0.129E-01
0.557	401	0.654	99.361	0.639	0.639E-02
0.615	187	0.305	99.666	0.334	0.334E-02
0.674	15	0.024	99.690	0.310	0.310E-02
0.733	6	0.010	99.700	0.300	0.300E-02
0.791	6	0.010	99.710	0.290	0.290E-02
0.850	4	0.007	99.716	0.284	0.284E-02
0.908	8	0.013	99.729	0.271	0.271E-02
0.967	16	0.026	99.755	0.245	0.245E-02
1.03	16	0.026	99.781	0.219	0.219E-02
1.08	18	0.029	99.811	0.189	0.189E-02
1.14	23	0.038	99.848	0.152	0.152E-02
1.20	26	0.042	99.891	0.109	0.109E-02
1.26	24	0.039	99.930	0.070	0.701E-03

Wood Trails – Preliminary Technical Information Report

1.32	9	0.015	99.945	0.055	0.554E-03
1.38	10	0.016	99.961	0.039	0.391E-03
1.44	4	0.007	99.967	0.033	0.326E-03
1.49	2	0.003	99.971	0.029	0.294E-03
1.55	3	0.005	99.976	0.024	0.245E-03
1.61	2	0.003	99.979	0.021	0.212E-03
1.67	1	0.002	99.980	0.020	0.196E-03
1.73	2	0.003	99.984	0.016	0.163E-03
1.79	1	0.002	99.985	0.015	0.147E-03
1.85	0	0.000	99.985	0.015	0.147E-03
1.90	2	0.003	99.989	0.011	0.114E-03
1.96	2	0.003	99.992	0.008	0.815E-04
2.02	2	0.003	99.995	0.005	0.489E-04
2.08	2	0.003	99.998	0.002	0.163E-04

Flow Duration from Time Series File:dsout.tsf

Cutoff CFS	Count	Frequency %	CDF %	Exceedence %	Probability
0.032	41314	67.374	67.374	32.626	0.326E+00
0.094	4816	7.854	75.228	24.772	0.248E+00
0.157	4134	6.742	81.970	18.030	0.180E+00
0.219	3548	5.786	87.756	12.244	0.122E+00
0.281	2426	3.956	91.712	8.288	0.829E-01
0.344	1796	2.929	94.641	5.359	0.536E-01
0.406	1199	1.955	96.597	3.403	0.340E-01
0.469	792	1.292	97.888	2.112	0.211E-01
0.531	487	0.794	98.682	1.318	0.132E-01
0.594	388	0.633	99.315	0.685	0.685E-02
0.656	172	0.280	99.596	0.404	0.404E-02
0.719	41	0.067	99.662	0.338	0.338E-02
0.781	18	0.029	99.692	0.308	0.308E-02
0.843	8	0.013	99.705	0.295	0.295E-02
0.906	8	0.013	99.718	0.282	0.282E-02
0.968	12	0.020	99.737	0.263	0.263E-02
1.03	16	0.026	99.764	0.236	0.236E-02
1.09	12	0.020	99.783	0.217	0.217E-02
1.16	19	0.031	99.814	0.186	0.186E-02
1.22	21	0.034	99.848	0.152	0.152E-02
1.28	28	0.046	99.894	0.106	0.106E-02
1.34	16	0.026	99.920	0.080	0.799E-03
1.41	15	0.024	99.945	0.055	0.554E-03
1.47	8	0.013	99.958	0.042	0.424E-03
1.53	6	0.010	99.967	0.033	0.326E-03
1.59	2	0.003	99.971	0.029	0.294E-03
1.66	3	0.005	99.976	0.024	0.245E-03
1.72	2	0.003	99.979	0.021	0.212E-03
1.78	1	0.002	99.980	0.020	0.196E-03
1.84	2	0.003	99.984	0.016	0.163E-03
1.90	0	0.000	99.984	0.016	0.163E-03
1.97	2	0.003	99.987	0.013	0.130E-03
2.03	1	0.002	99.989	0.011	0.114E-03
2.09	2	0.003	99.992	0.008	0.815E-04
2.15	2	0.003	99.995	0.005	0.489E-04
2.22	2	0.003	99.998	0.002	0.163E-04

Duration Comparison Analysis
Base File: exst.tsf

New File: dsout.tsf
Cutoff Units: Discharge in CFS

Cutoff	-----Fraction of Time-----			-----Check of Tolerance-----			
	Base	New	%Change	Probability	Base	New	%Change
0.631	0.67E-02	0.47E-02	-29.1	0.67E-02	0.631	0.594	-5.9
0.756	0.50E-02	0.32E-02	-36.2	0.50E-02	0.756	0.622	-17.7
0.880	0.38E-02	0.28E-02	-24.3	0.38E-02	0.880	0.678	-23.0
1.00	0.27E-02	0.25E-02	-10.1	0.27E-02	1.00	0.931	-7.4
1.13	0.19E-02	0.20E-02	6.1	0.19E-02	1.13	1.16	2.4
1.25	0.14E-02	0.12E-02	-10.6	0.14E-02	1.25	1.24	-1.2
1.38	0.11E-02	0.60E-03	-43.9	0.11E-02	1.38	1.28	-7.2
1.50	0.80E-03	0.36E-03	-55.1	0.80E-03	1.50	1.34	-10.6
1.63	0.60E-03	0.26E-03	-56.8	0.60E-03	1.63	1.39	-14.4
1.75	0.44E-03	0.21E-03	-51.9	0.44E-03	1.75	1.46	-16.9
1.88	0.26E-03	0.16E-03	-37.5	0.26E-03	1.88	1.64	-12.4
2.00	0.13E-03	0.11E-03	-12.5	0.13E-03	2.00	1.97	-1.4
2.13	0.82E-04	0.49E-04	-40.0	0.82E-04	2.13	2.10	-1.5

Maximum positive excursion = 0.032 cfs (2.9%)

occurring at 1.13 cfs on the Base Data:exst.tsf
and at 1.17 cfs on the New Data:dsout.tsf

Maximum negative excursion = 0.209 cfs (-23.5%)

occurring at 0.888 cfs on the Base Data:exst.tsf
and at 0.679 cfs on the New Data:dsout.tsf

The outflow durations do not exceed the maximum 10% threshold. In addition, more than half of the flow duration curve is below the existing target duration curve. Therefore, the designed pond meets the Level 2 flow control requirement.

The required detention volume is approximately 188,660 cf

The provided detention volume is approximately 209,400 cf (11% F.S.)

4.4 Water Quality

Selecting from the BMPs listed in the Resource Stream Protection Menu, water quality for the site will be provided by a two-facility treatment train system (Option 3). The proposed treatment train will be a basic wetpond followed by a leaf compost filter (StormFilter).

Water quality treatment will be provided for the total developed onsite areas. This includes onsite detained and bypassed areas. Treatment for the bypass area will be accounted for through compensatory treatment of equivalent upstream areas flowing through the onsite facilities.

4.4.1 Wetpond

The basic wetpond was sized per Chapter 6.4 of the 1998 KCSWDM.

Total Onsite Area = 21.5 acres

Impervious = 9.88 acres

Pervious = 11.62 acres

Till Forest = 3.9 acres

Till Grass = 7.72 acres

$$V_r = (0.9A_i + 0.25A_{tg} + 0.10A_{tf} + 0.01A_o) \times 43,560 \times (R/12)$$

Where V_r = volume of runoff from mean annual storm (cf)
 A_i = area of impervious surface = 9.88 acres
 A_{tg} = area of till soil covered with grass = 7.72 acres
 A_{tf} = area of till soil covered with forest = 3.9 acres
 A_o = area of outwash soil covered with grass or forest = 0 acres
 R = rainfall from mean annual storm = 0.47" (Figure 6.4.1.A)

$$V_r = ((0.9)(9.88 \text{ ac}) + (0.25)(7.72 \text{ ac}) + (0.10)(3.9 \text{ ac}) + (0.01)(0)) \times 43560 \times (0.47/12)$$

$$V_r = 19,129 \text{ cf}$$

$$V_b = fV_r \quad (\text{Equation 6-14})$$

Where V_b = wetpool volume (cf)
 f = volume factor = 3 (basic wetpond)
 V_r = runoff volume = 19,129 cf

$$V_b = (3)(19129)$$

$$V_b = 57,387 \text{ cubic-feet}$$

The required wetpond volume is approximately 57,387 cf

The provided wetpond volume is approximately 65,920 cf

4.4.2 Leaf Compost Filter

The leaf compost filter is designed to follow the detention pond. Since it is required to treat only onsite flows, the leaf compost filter will be designed to treat the full 2-year peak flow released from the detention pond. It is assumed that the 2-year peak release rate from the pond due to onsite flows will not exceed the existing 2-year flows from the site under existing conditions. Therefore, the required treatment flow rate will be for the full 2-year flow rate for the site under existing conditions. The leaf compost filter will be a StormFilter vault by Stormwater Management Inc.

Flow Frequency Analysis							
Time Series File:onsite-exst.tsf							
Project Location:Sea-Tac							
---Annual Peak Flow Rates---				-----Flow Frequency Analysis-----			
Flow Rate (CFS)	Rank	Time of Peak		Peaks (CFS)	Rank	Return Period	Prob
1.35	2	2/09/01	18:00	1.74	1	100.00	0.990
0.368	7	1/06/02	3:00	1.35	2	25.00	0.960
1.00	4	2/28/03	3:00	1.04	3	10.00	0.900
0.036	8	3/24/04	20:00	1.00	4	5.00	0.800
0.597	6	1/05/05	8:00	0.878	5	3.00	0.667
1.04	3	1/18/06	20:00	<u>0.597</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>2.00</u>	0.500
0.878	5	11/24/06	4:00	0.368	7	1.30	0.231
1.74	1	1/09/08	9:00	0.036	8	1.10	0.091
Computed Peaks				1.61		50.00	0.980

StormFilter Cartridges required = $Q_{wq} \times Q_{\text{cartridge}}$

$$Q_{wq} = 0.60 \text{ cfs}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\text{cartridge}} &= \text{Flowrate treated per cartridge} = 15 \text{ gal/min} \\ &= 15 \text{ gal/min} / (7.48 \text{ gal/cf} \times 60 \text{ sec/min}) = 0.033 \text{ cfs} \\ &= 0.60 \text{ cfs} / 0.033 \text{ cfs} = 18.18 \rightarrow 19 \text{ cartridges} \end{aligned}$$


A 8' long x 16' wide precast StormFilter unit is proposed (30 cartridge capacity). Final StormFilter vault sizing will be done by Stormwater Management Inc.

Flows in excess of the 2-year peak flows released from the detention pond will bypass the StormFilter via a flow splitter.

4.5 Emergency Overflow Structure


A 48" emergency overflow structure with debris cage will be provided for the detention pond. The 48" overflow structure is able to convey the undetained 100-year developed peak flow of 8.33 cfs (see Section 4.3.2) with 0.36' of head (weir flow). See the attached Emergency Overflow Calculation.

Riser



	Input	Output
Q (cfs)	8.33	8.33
D (in)	48	48.00
H (ft)		0.36

Flow: Weir Flow



Job: 03-208 Wood Trails
By: SC

Description: Emergency Overflow Calculation
Date: 6/11/2004

5 CONVEYANCE SYSTEM ANALYSIS AND DESIGN

The onsite conveyance system will be designed per the 1998 King County Surface Water Design Manual (KCSWDM). Backwater analysis using the King County Backwater (KCBW) program will be provided in final engineering. Refer to the *Drainage Basins Exhibit* in at the end of Section 4 to aid in the following discussion.

5.1 Offsite Capacity

Onsite Detained System:

A 12" piped system is proposed to convey detained flows from the detention pond to the existing 12" storm system within 144th Avenue NE. The proposed 12" system will be sized to convey the peak 100-year undetained flows from the site. Using Manning's Equation, a 12" pipe ($n=0.012$) at a slope of approximately 20% (estimated from existing contours assuming pipe and ground slope to be similar) has the capacity to convey 17.26 cfs. The 100-year peak undetained flow is 8.33 cfs, therefore the 12" system proposed will have ample capacity to convey onsite flows. See Offsite Pipe Capacity Calculations attached.

The offsite system will be proposed to connect into one of the two existing downstream systems within 144th Avenue NE. This will be either the existing system within NE 203rd Street or that within NE 200th Street (described as System A and System B in the Level 1 Downstream Analysis). Capacity and performance of the existing system within 144th Avenue NE will be evaluated during final engineering when the exact downstream route is determined.

Offsite Bypass System:

Per King County requirements, the offsite bypass system will be designed to convey the 25-year peak flow determined using the KCRTS 15-minute time steps. Flows will be collected from the upstream basin (South-Upstream Basin: upstream areas south of 198th Street SE including areas tributary to the upstream pond) by a ditch system along side the road. Upstream flows will combine with bypass onsite flows in a closed pipe system within Tract M. A 12" offsite bypass system is proposed to convey flow from the onsite bypass area and

the upstream offsite bypass area to the existing downstream system within 144th Avenue NE. Approximately **6.07 cfs** is will be tributary to this system. A 12" pipe at approximate slope of 31% (existing ground slope) is able to convey 21.49 cfs. Further downstream capacity of the existing system within 144th Avenue NE will evaluated during final engineering.

Upstream Basin (Offsite-Bypass)

Flow Frequency Analysis				Flow Frequency Analysis			
Time Series File:offsite-bypass.tsf							
Project Location:Sea-Tac							
---Annual Peak Flow Rates---				-----Flow Frequency Analysis-----			
Flow Rate (CFS)	Rank	Time of Peak		- - Peaks - - (CFS)	Rank	Return Period	Prob
2.02	6	2/09/01	12:45	8.56	1	100.00	0.990
1.48	7	1/05/02	15:00	<u>5.01</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>25.00</u>	0.960
5.01	2	12/08/02	17:15	4.24	3	10.00	0.900
1.36	8	8/26/04	0:45	2.45	4	5.00	0.800
4.24	3	11/17/04	5:00	2.38	5	3.00	0.667
2.38	5	10/27/05	10:45	2.02	6	2.00	0.500
2.45	4	10/25/06	22:45	1.48	7	1.30	0.231
8.56	1	1/09/08	6:30	1.36	8	1.10	0.091
Computed Peaks				7.38		50.00	0.980

Onsite Bypass

Flow Frequency Analysis				Flow Frequency Analysis			
Time Series File:onsite-bypass.tsf							
Project Location:Sea-Tac							
---Annual Peak Flow Rates---				-----Flow Frequency Analysis-----			
Flow Rate (CFS)	Rank	Time of Peak		- - Peaks - - (CFS)	Rank	Return Period	Prob
0.462	6	8/27/01	18:00	1.57	1	100.00	0.990
0.343	8	1/05/02	15:00	<u>1.06</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>25.00</u>	0.960
1.06	2	12/08/02	17:15	0.662	3	10.00	0.900
0.372	7	8/23/04	14:30	0.654	4	5.00	0.800
0.662	3	11/17/04	5:00	0.573	5	3.00	0.667
0.573	5	10/27/05	10:45	0.462	6	2.00	0.500
0.654	4	10/25/06	22:45	0.372	7	1.30	0.231
1.57	1	1/09/08	6:30	0.343	8	1.10	0.091
Computed Peaks				1.40		50.00	0.980

$$Q_{25} = 5.01 \text{ cfs} + 1.06 \text{ cfs} = \mathbf{6.07 \text{ cfs}}$$

Pipe

[illegible]

Pipe

[illegible]

7 OTHER PERMITS

Drainage variances are included as part of this submittal.

8 ESC ANALYSIS AND DESIGN

Temporary Erosion and Sediment Control measures will be included in final engineering.

9 BOND QUANTITIES, FACILITY SUMMARIES, AND DECLARATION OF COVENANT

9.1 Bond Quantities

A Site Improvement Bond Quantity Worksheet will be provided at the end of the engineering review process.

9.2 Facility Summaries

Pond details and detention facility summary worksheet will be provided with the next submittal.

9.3 Declaration of Covenant

Not applicable.

10 OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE

The drainage system will be publicly maintained.

