RICHARD D. JOHNSON, Court Administrator/Clerk

## The Court of Appeals of the State of Washington

210

DIVISION I One Union Square 600 University Street Seattle, WA 98101-4170 (206) 464-7750 TDD: (206) 587-5505

RECEIVED

JAN 2 2 2010

January 21, 2010

J. Richard Aramburu
Aramburu & Eustis LLP
720 3rd Ave Ste 2112
Seattle, WA, 98104-1860

Jeffrey M Eustis
Aramburu & Eustis LLP
720 3rd Ave Ste 2112
Seattle, WA, 98104-1860

John Maurice Groen Groen Stephens & Klinge LLP 11100 NE 8th St Ste 750 Bellevue. WA. 98004-4469

Timothy M Harris Building Industry Assoc of Wash State 111 21st Ave SE Olympia, WA, 98501-2925

Michael Charles Walter Keating Bucklin McCormack Inc PS 800 5th Ave Ste 4141 Seattle, WA, 98104-3175 Michael John Murphy Groff Murphy PLLC 300 E Pine St Seattle, WA, 98122-2029

Daniel Connolly Carmalt Groff Murphy PLLC 300 E Pine St Seattle, WA, 98122-2029

George Richard Hill McCullough Hill PS 701 5th Ave Ste 7220 Seattle, WA, 98104-7097

Robert D. Johns Johns Monroe Mitsunaga PLLC 1601 114th Ave SE Ste 110 Bellevue, WA, 98004-6969

Greg Alan Rubstello Attorney at Law 2100 Westlake Ctr Twr 1601 5th Ave Seattle, WA, 98101-3621

CASE #: 62167-0-I Phoenix Development, Inc., et ano., Appellants v. City of Woodinville, et ano., Respondents

## Counsel:

Enclosed please find a copy of the Order Denying Motion For Reconsideration entered by this court in the above case today.

Sincerely,

Richard D. Johnson Court Administrator/Clerk

LAM

enclosure

## IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

PHOENIX DEVELOPMENT, INC., a Washington corporation, and G&S SUNDQUIST THIRD FAMILY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, a Washington limited partnership,	) NO. 62167-0-I ) ORDER DENYING MOTION ) FOR RECONSIDERATION
Appellants,	) )
V	) }
CITY OF WOODINVILLE, a Washington municipal corporation, and CONCERNED NEIGHBORS OF WELLINGTON, a Washington nonprofit corporation,	) ) ) )
Respondents.	) ) )

The respondent, City of Woodinville, having filed a motion for reconsideration herein, and a majority of the panel having determined that the motion should be denied; now, therefore, it is hereby

ORDERED that the motion for reconsideration be, and the same is, hereby denied.

DATED this 21st day of January, 2010.

For the Court:

Judge

121 PM 2:31

STATE OF WASHINGTON